## Data Structures and Algorithms with applications in Machine Learning - $MCQ\ 1$ -

NAME:			GROUP:				
			Each Question: 1 Mark		Dura	ation: 30 Minutes	
	Completely fill the circles as shown: ○○●○						
Ans	swei	r sh	eet				
Q1.	0 0 0 0	a. b. c. d.		Q6.	0000	a. b. c. d.	
Q2.	0 0 0	a. b. c. d.		Q7.	0000	a. b. c. d.	
Q3.	0 0 0	a. b. c. d.		Q8.	0000	a. b. c. d.	
Q4.	0 0 0	a. b. c. d.		Q9.	0000	a. b. c. d.	
Q5.	0 0 0	a. b. c. d.		Q10.	0 0 0	a. b. c. d.	

## The Quiz

Q. 1 Complete the missing part of the function to create a word\_index dictionary from a list of documents.

The input is a list of documents, where each document is a list of tokens. For example:

The function should return a dictionary where each unique word is assigned to a unique integer starting from 1. For example:

```
{
 "machine": 1,
 "learning": 2,
 "is": 3,
 "fun": 4,
 "models": 5,
 "important": 6
def create_word_index(documents):
    Creates a word_index dictionary mapping unique tokens to unique integers.
    Parameters:
        documents (list of list of str): List of documents, where each document
        is a list of tokens.
    Returns:
        dict: A dictionary mapping words to unique integers.
    word_index = {}
    current_index = 1
    for document in documents:
        for token in document:
            if token not in word_index:
                word_index[token] = current_index
                _____ # Fill in the blank
    return word_index
```

What should replace the blank to correctly increment the current\_index?

```
a. current_index = current_index
b. current_index -= 1
c. word_index[token] += 1
d. current_index += 1
```

Q. 2 After applying the word\_index dictionary to convert a corpus into sequences, each sequence represents a document as a list of integers.

Given the following corpus:

What can we say about the nature of the elements in the resulting sequences?

- a. Each integer in the sequences corresponds to a unique word in the word\_index dictionary.
- b. Each sequence contains random integers generated independently of the word\_index.
- O c. The sequences are lists of tokens instead of integers.
- O d. Each integer in the sequences corresponds to the frequency of a word in the corpus.
- **Q. 3** In the modified algorithm for computing the co-occurrence matrix, we use a weight  $\alpha(i,j)$  instead of simply adding 1 when a word at index j is in the context of the center word at index i.

The intuition behind  $\alpha(i,j)$  is that words closer to the center word should contribute more to the co-occurrence count, while words farther away should contribute less. This adjustment reflects the observation that words closer in proximity often have a stronger semantic relationship.

Below is the modified pseudo-code for the algorithm:

## **Algorithm 1** Getting the Co-Occurrence Matrix with Distance Weighting

```
Require: sequences (list of lists of integers), context_size
Ensure: X (the co-occurrence matrix)
 1: Initialize matrix X \in \mathbb{M}_{VV}(\mathbb{R}) with zeros
 2: for all sequence in sequences do
 3:
        for all center_word with index i in sequence do
            for all context_word with index j in context of center_word do
 4:
                if i \neq j then
 5:
                    X[\text{center\_word}, \text{context\_word}] \leftarrow X[\text{center\_word}, \text{context\_word}] + \alpha(i, j)
 6:
                end if
 7:
            end for
 8:
        end for
 9:
10: end for
11: return X
```

Which of the following best defines  $\alpha(i, j)$ ?

```
\bigcirc a. \alpha(i,j) = |i-j|
```

```
O b. \alpha(i,j) = \frac{1}{|i-j|}
O c. \alpha(i,j) = \max(0,i-j)
O d. \alpha(i,j) = 1
```

**Q.** 4 The following function computes the co-occurrence matrix with weighted contributions based on the inverse distance between words. The formula for the left context has already been implemented using i - j. Complete the blank in the right context to correctly compute the inverse distance.

```
def get_occurence_matrix(sentences, context_size, vocabulary_size):
    This function creates the co-occurrence matrix from the corpus
    composed of sentences.
    X = np.zeros((vocabulary_size, vocabulary_size))
    N = len(sentences)
    print("number of sentences to process:", N)
    it = 0
    for sentence in sentences:
        it += 1
        if it % 10000 == 0:
            print("processed", it, "/", N)
        n = len(sentence)
        for i in range(n):
            # center word
            w_i = sentence[i]
            start = max(0, i - context_size)
            end = min(n - 1, i + context_size)
            # left context side
            for j in range(start, i):
                # context word
                w_j = sentence[j]
                # inverse of distance between w_i and w_j
                inverse_distance = 1. / (i - j)
                # Add the inverse of the distance to X[w_i, w_j]
                X[w_i, w_j] += inverse_distance
            # right context side
            for j in range(i + 1, end + 1):
                # context word
                w_j = sentence[j]
                # inverse of distance between w_i and w_j
                inverse_distance = _____ # Fill in the blank
                # Add the inverse of the distance to X[w_i, w_j]
                X[w_i, w_j] += inverse_distance
    return X
```

What should replace the blank?

- $\bigcirc$  a. 1./(j-i)
- O b. 1./(i-j)
- O c. 1.
- $\bigcirc$  d. 1./(*i* + *j*)

Q. 5 Given the following small corpus and word\_index:

Suppose the context window size is 3. Calculate  $X_{0,3}$ , where  $X_{ij}$  is the number of times the word corresponding to index j ("the") appears in the context of the word corresponding to index i ("dog").

- $\bigcirc$  a.  $X_{0,3} = 0$
- $\bigcirc$  b.  $X_{0,3} = 1$
- $\bigcirc$  c.  $X_{0,3} = 2$
- $\bigcirc$  d.  $X_{0,3} = 0$

Q. 6 Recall the desired approximation:

$$\log X_{ij} \approx W_i^T \tilde{W}_j + b_i + \tilde{b}_j$$

The term  $W_i^T \tilde{W}_j$  represents the relationship between the word indexed by i and the word indexed by j through their embeddings.

Assume that we have trained embeddings  $W_{\text{equity}}$ ,  $W_{\text{market}}$  using this approximation and compare the dot product of these embeddings to the dot product of one-hot vectors for the same words.

Which of the following best describes the comparison?

- $\bigcirc$  a. The dot product  $W_{\text{equity}}^T W_{\text{market}}$  from embeddings is positive and large, while the dot product of their one-hot vectors is 0.
- $\bigcirc$  b. The dot product  $W_{\text{equity}}^T W_{\text{market}}$  from embeddings is 0, and the dot product of their one-hot vectors is also 0.
- $\bigcirc$  c. The dot product  $W_{\text{equity}}^T W_{\text{market}}$  from embeddings is smaller than the dot product of their one-hot vectors, which is positive.
- $\bigcirc$  d. The dot product  $W_{\text{equity}}^T W_{\text{market}}$  from embeddings is negative, while the dot product of their one-hot vectors is 0.

**Q.** 7 Recall the cost function J:

$$J(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^{V} \sum_{j=1}^{V} f(X_{ij}) (\log X_{ij} - W_i^T \tilde{W}_j - b_i - \tilde{b}_j)^2$$

The parameters to optimize are:

- $W \in \mathcal{M}_{V,D}(\mathbb{R})$ , the first embedding matrix,
- $\tilde{W} \in \mathcal{M}_{V,D}(\mathbb{R})$ , the second embedding matrix,
- $b \in \mathbb{R}^V$ , the bias vector for W,
- $\tilde{b} \in \mathbb{R}^V$ , the bias vector for  $\tilde{W}$ .

What is the total number of parameters to train in the model, assuming the vocabulary size is V and the embedding dimension is D?

- $\bigcirc$  a. 2VD + 2V
- $\bigcirc$  b. VD+V
- O c.  $V^2 + D^2$
- $\bigcirc$  d. 2V + D
- **Q. 8** Which of the following equations correctly represents the gradient  $\nabla_{\tilde{W}_j} J(\tilde{W}_j)$  based on its shape?

$$\bigcirc \quad \text{a. } \nabla_{\tilde{W}_j} J(\tilde{W}_j) = -2 \sum_{i'=1}^V f(X_{i'j}) \left( \log X_{i'j} - W_{i'}^T \tilde{W}_j - b_{i'} - \tilde{b}_j \right)$$

O b. 
$$\nabla_{\tilde{W}_j} J(\tilde{W}_j) = -2 \sum_{i'=1}^V f(X_{i'j}) \left( \log X_{i'j} - W_{i'}^T \tilde{W}_j - b_{i'} - \tilde{b}_j \right) W_{i'}$$

$$\bigcirc \quad \text{c. } \nabla_{\tilde{W}_{i}} J(\tilde{W}_{j}) = -2 \sum_{i'=1}^{V} f(X_{i'j}) \left( \log X_{i'j} - W_{i'}^{T} \tilde{W}_{j} - b_{i'} - \tilde{b}_{j} \right) W_{i'} W_{i'}^{T}$$

$$\bigcirc \quad d. \ \nabla_{\tilde{W}_i} J(\tilde{W}_j) = 0$$

**Q. 9** In the iterative optimization method where gradients are set to zero, the update equations for the parameters  $W, \tilde{W}, b, \tilde{b}$  are given as:

$$W_i^{(t+1)} \longleftarrow \left(\sum_{j'=1}^V f(X_{ij'}) \tilde{W}_{j'}^{(t)} \tilde{W}_{j'}^{(t)T}\right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{j'=1}^V f(X_{ij'}) (\log X_{ij'} - b_i^{(t)} - \tilde{b}_{j'}^{(t)}) \tilde{W}_{j'}^{(t)}\right)$$
(1)

$$\tilde{W}_{j}^{(t+1)} \longleftarrow \left(\sum_{i'=1}^{V} f(X_{i'j}) W_{i'}^{(t)} W_{i'}^{(t)^{T}}\right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i'=1}^{V} f(X_{i'j}) (\log X_{i'j} - b_{i'}^{(t)} - \tilde{b}_{j}^{(t)}) W_{i'}^{(t)}\right)$$
(2)

$$b_i^{(t+1)} \longleftarrow \left(\sum_{j'=1}^V f(X_{ij'})\right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{j'=1}^V f(X_{ij'}) (\log X_{ij'} - W_i^{(t)^T} \tilde{W}_{j'}^{(t)} - \tilde{b}_{j'}^{(t)})\right)$$
(3)

$$\tilde{b}_{j}^{(t+1)} \longleftarrow \left(\sum_{i'=1}^{V} f(X_{i'j})\right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i'=1}^{V} f(X_{i'j}) (\log X_{i'j} - W_{i'}^{(t)^T} \tilde{W}_{j}^{(t)} - b_{i'}^{(t)})\right)$$
(4)

Which of the following best describes the interdependence of these update equations?

- $\bigcirc$  a. The update equations for W and  $\tilde{W}$  are independent of b and  $\tilde{b}$ , so these parameters can be updated in parallel.
- $\bigcirc$  b. The parameter updates depend only on the values of f(X) and  $\log X$ , making the updates independent of each other.
- $\bigcirc$  c. Each parameter update depends on the values of the other parameters at the current iteration t, making it necessary to update all parameters iteratively until convergence.
- O d. All parameters can be updated simultaneously in a single step without the need for iteration, as the update equations guarantee immediate convergence.
- **Q. 10** The following pseudo-code implements the alternating least squares method to optimize the loss function by iteratively updating the parameters  $W, \tilde{W}, b, \tilde{b}$ . Complete the missing part of the pseudo-code for updating  $W_i^{(t+1)}$ .

## Algorithm 2 Training by Alternating Least Squares

```
Require: \log X, f(X), number of epochs N_{\text{epochs}}
Ensure: W^{(N_{\text{epochs}}-1)}, \tilde{W}^{(N_{\text{epochs}}-1)}, \tilde{b}^{(N_{\text{epochs}}-1)}, \tilde{b}^{(N_{\text{epochs}}-1)} (The trained parameters)
  1: Initialize randomly the parameters W^{(0)}, \tilde{W}^{(0)}, b^{(0)}, \tilde{b}^{(0)}
  2: costs \leftarrow []
  3: for t = 0 to N_{\text{epochs}} - 1 do
             Calculate the cost as a function of W^{(t)}, \tilde{W}^{(t)}, b^{(t)}, \tilde{b}^{(t)} and append to costs
  4:
             for i = 0 to V - 1 do
  5:
                   W_i^{(t+1)} \leftarrow \dots
  6:
                                                                                                                                                   ▶ Fill in the blank
             end for
  7:
             for j = 0 to V - 1 do
  8:
                   \tilde{W}_{j}^{(t+1)} \leftarrow \left(\sum_{i'=1}^{V} f(X_{i'j}) W_{i'}^{(t)} W_{i'}^{(t)\top}\right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i'=1}^{V} f(X_{i'j}) (\log X_{i'j} - b_{i'}^{(t)} - \tilde{b}_{j}^{(t)}) W_{i'}^{(t)}\right)
  9:
10:
             end for
             for i = 0 to V - 1 do
11:
                   b_i^{(t+1)} \leftarrow \left(\sum_{j'=1}^V f(X_{ij'})\right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{j'=1}^V f(X_{ij'}) (\log X_{ij'} - W_i^{(t)\top} \tilde{W}_{j'}^{(t)} - \tilde{b}_{j'}^{(t)})\right)
12:
13:
             for j = 0 to V - 1 do
14:
                   \tilde{b}_{j}^{(t+1)} \leftarrow \left(\sum_{i'=1}^{V} f(X_{i'j})\right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i'=1}^{V} f(X_{i'j}) (\log X_{i'j} - W_{i'}^{(t)\top} \tilde{W}_{j}^{(t)} - b_{i'}^{(t)})\right)
15:
16:
17: end for
18: return W^{(N_{\text{epochs}}-1)}, \tilde{W}^{(N_{\text{epochs}}-1)}, b^{(N_{\text{epochs}}-1)}, \tilde{b}^{(N_{\text{epochs}}-1)}
```

Which of the following correctly fills the blank for  $W_i^{(t+1)}$ ?

$$\bigcirc \quad \text{a. } W_{i}^{(t+1)} \leftarrow W_{i}^{(t)} - \eta \cdot \nabla_{W_{i}} J(W_{i}^{(t)}) \\
\bigcirc \quad \text{b. } W_{i}^{(t+1)} \leftarrow \left(\sum_{i'=1}^{V} f(X_{i'j}) W_{i'}^{(t)} W_{i'}^{(t)^{T}}\right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i'=1}^{V} f(X_{i'j}) (\log X_{i'j} - b_{i'}^{(t)} - \tilde{b}_{j}^{(t)}) W_{i'}^{(t)}\right) \\
\bigcirc \quad \text{c. } W_{i}^{(t+1)} \leftarrow \left(\sum_{j'=1}^{V} f(X_{ij'}) \tilde{W}_{j'}^{(t)} \tilde{W}_{j'}^{(t)^{T}}\right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{j'=1}^{V} f(X_{ij'}) (\log X_{ij'} - b_{i}^{(t)} - \tilde{b}_{j'}^{(t)}) \tilde{W}_{j'}^{(t)}\right) \\
\bigcirc \quad \text{d. } W_{i}^{(t+1)} \leftarrow W_{i}^{(t)} + \eta \cdot f(X_{ij}) \cdot \tilde{W}_{i}$$